Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,900	DUNCAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>now allowed.</u>		
(1) <u>Maikhanh Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kenneth R. Eiferman (Reg. No. 51,647).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 December 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-4, 6, 9-10, 34-37, 39,42-43,45-49, and 66-70.		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant authorized the Examiner to amend the claim as presented in the Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.      It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Maikhanh Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)